Grant's Atlas Of Anatomy, 11th Edition
**Synopsis**

Since 1943, students have made Grant’s the centerpiece of their anatomy lab experience. Now in its Eleventh Edition, this classic atlas continues to provide students with accurate anatomical images presented in a pedagogically effective, clinically relevant manner. This new edition has been revised to include 100 additional four-color illustrations, additional orientation drawings, and updated terminology. This edition is packaged with a student version of Dynamic Human Anatomy, an interactive electronic supplement which includes 800 images from the Eleventh Edition of Grant’s, 8 video clips from Acland’s DVD Atlas of Human Anatomy, and 100 USMLE-style review questions in anatomy.
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**Customer Reviews**

This is the review I posted regarding the Netter atlas. I cite here, because it compared the Netter atlas with Grant’s. It reflects the view of somebody that really experimented on the dissecting table various atlases. In the end, our group ended up with Grant’s. It really delivered for us. My review assumes you will have a thorough anatomy exam, including cadaver, x-rays, CT, and physical examination of the live human. It might be that you school will only require a written examination, in which case our experience might not be the same. However, I feel this atlas develops fundamental skills. “Netter’s genius shines in the CIBA books, not on this atlas. It lacks fundamental correlations with what today’s student must acquaint themselves with: MRI, CT, x-rays, and not to forget
physical examination. I guess the rave is all about only knowing this atlas, and also because of older teachers only know this atlas. I am not saying this [Netter's] is a bad atlas at all. All I am saying is that there are other choices that integrate information in a more meaningful way for today’s medical student. Our anatomy dissection group had Netter, Sobbotta, Grant’s, Yokoshi’s, and McMinn’s atlases. We found Netter and Sobbotta to have "pretty pictures". Yokoshi had cadaver sections only, but they were executed by anatomy experts. If you followed it, you would get in trouble and section something you shouldn’t (we became so appreciative of the human body’s simmetry because of that). Same with McMinn’s (and we also found some dissections not very inteligible, I might add). Students that displayed avoidance behavior towards dissection, and were more of the "exam cram" types favored Netter (that might also have been because of a lack of curiosity regarding other atlases).

This review is from the perspective of a first year medical student in Gross Anatomy.[...]Grant’s Atlas shines when it is in the dissection room with you. The drawings are more realistic, and more often than not muscles are reflected out of view rather than being omitted completely (Netter). While this is indeed more realistic and allows you to see more muscle relationships, it slows down studying because of the more complicated mess you see before you. Therefore, it’s best used in the lab when that complicated mess is EXACTLY what you are seeing. In all fairness, there are little schematic drawings that simplify important muscle relationships. It also comes with a CD with the images from the book allows you to turn off the labels and quiz yourself. There are also about 100 USMLE style anatomy questions.Netter’s atlas shines for at home study away from your cadaver. It is more high-yield than Grant’s atlas and makes for easier and faster learning. Grant, because of its thoroughness and more realistic perspective, can be a little bit of a chore to get through when cramming for a test. Netter said himself that he tried to find the balance between simplification and realism, and I personally think he did an outstanding job. I can study any of his diagrams and quickly see the most important relationships between muscles, arteries, veins, nerves, and bones. Ultimately, I would learn more using Grant’s Atlas, but Netter is best when you are pushed for time. One thing I didn’t like at first was that, unlike Grant’s Atlas, Netter’s doesn’t have any text or tables. Then I found out that they were all on […] which a pretty nice website that in my opinion give Netter’s Atlas more value.So, in short, Grant’s atlas and Netter’s atlas are both excellent.
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